

#### **Decade Intelligence (good/bad practices)**


25<sup>th</sup> International Steering Committee meeting Podgorica, September 26, 2013

# Project

- Objective: to see what works and what doesn't work
  - Good practices: factors contributing to successful and sustainable positive change
  - Bad practices: factors hindering successful and sustainable positive change



### **Process**





# Mapping

- Projects
- Stakeholders

→ Data-base

http://romadecade.org/about -the-decade-decade-goodpractices

	PR	ST	DB	
AL	23	16	Υ	
ВН	27	20	N	
BG	30	38	Υ	
CR	15	0	N	
CZ	16	16	N	
HU	35	30	Υ	
MK	32	18	N	
ME	25	16	N	
RO	32	26	Υ	
RS	30	43	N	
SL	17	15	N	
ES	36	17	Υ	



#### Data - stakeholders

- Name (original, English), department, NC/DTF, type
- Contact: address, phones, email, website, person
- Contribution to Decade, other policies
- Activity level
- Principle area of work
- Roma (women) participation
- Basic commitment



### Data - projects

- Title, implementer, partners, website
- Priority & cross-cutting areas, type
- Country (cross), location, timeframe
- Budget, donor, non-financial contributions
- Description: problem statement, goal/purpose, objectives, results, main activities
- Indicators, # of beneficiaries (all, Roma/women)
- Roma participation
- Project relations



#### Assessment

Indicator/Value	variables involved	0	1	2	3	4	5	weight
	Implementer			government is		governmentis	government is implementer	
Involvement of government	Partners	no participation of government	government is partner only	financial/non- financial contributor	government is implementer only	financiar/non-	and financier/non-	1.1
	Donor	0.80.0	government	only	Sy	contributor	financial contributor	
	Priority area			one priority	combination	integrated	integrated	
	le priority areas incorporated	other area	priority area(s)	area with mainstreamed	of priority areas with mainstreamed	approach without cross-	approach with mainstreamed	0.7
	Integral approach			cross-cutting	cross-cutting	cutting	cross-cutting	
	Location area							
Location - community			community	municipality	rogional	national	cross-border	0.7
level			Community	municipality	regional	Hational	cross-border	0.7
	Cross-border							
-	•	-		-				

#### Assessment

Indicator/Value	variables involved	0	1	2	3	4	5	weig ht
Demonstrated reduced 4 gap between Roma and non-Roma	Goal/purpose Indicators Roma beneficiaries Roma women beneficiaries	The project demonstrates negative influence to the goals of the Decade	The project does not demonstrate progress towards the achievement of the Decade goal	The project partially demonstrates improvement of the situation of the Roma in the priority areas	improvement of the situation	of the gap	the reduction of the gap between Roma	1.4
5 Participation of Roma	Participation of Roma in the project  Participation of Roma women in the project	None of the alternatives for	Minority alternatives marked for one of the variables and none for the other variable		Majority (3 or more) alternatives marked for one of the variables and minority alternatives marked for the other variable	alternatives	All possible alternatives marked	1.1
6 Multiplication	Relation of the project to other initiatives	no relation	part of AP	part of AP and other mainstream policies	continued, followed-up or replicated in the country (and part of AP or other policies)	replicated in	institutionalize d in the country and replicated in several (and any of the rest)	1

#### **Evaluation**

- Relevance
- Efficiency
- Effectiveness
- Impact
- Sustainability
- Factors
- Documents

	DB
۸L	N
ВН	N
3G	N
CR	Υ
CZ	N
<del>1</del> U	Υ
ЛK	N
ΛE	N
RO	Υ
RS	N
SL	N
S	Υ



#### Report

- Conclusions on factors for success / failure
- Case-studies
  - End of 2013

- Decade partners
  - Use the data-base
  - Check data on projects / stakeholders
  - Submit data on projects / stakeholders



# THANK YOU

